



2010.es

[www.eu2010.es](http://www.eu2010.es)

*Presidencia española de la Unión Europea*

**VET Conference**

**«The value of competences in VET»**

**Workshop 2**

**Quality assurance in VET**



GOBIERNO  
DE ESPAÑA

MINISTERIO  
DE EDUCACION

**Chair:**

Javier Molina, Ministry of Education, Spain

**Speakers:**

- Sophie WEISSWANGE, DG EAC
- Patrick WERQUIN, OECD

**Rapporteur:**

Dana STROIE, Romania

## Key messages (1):

☐ validation and recognition of competences must be underpinned by quality assurance at:

- **system level** - to ensure the quality of and adherence to policy in the domain
- **process level** – to ensure that procedures and practices are fair, transparent, valid and reliable

☐ policies and procedures should be based on **established and commonly agreed principles**.

☐ **internal and external quality assurance mechanisms must be in place.**

## Key messages (2):

- ☐ standards and ownership of standards.
- ☐ methodological convergence .
- ☐ the cost -benefit ratio— an optim balance between the **complexity** of methods and instruments for assessment and validation of non formal and informal learning **and their cost;** **social benefits should not be neglected in this evaluation.**
- ☐ evidence based policy and procedures, thus avoiding bureaucracy.

## Aims and Challenges:

- ☐ learning outcomes approach.
- ☐ key competences and professional skills.
- ☐ mutual trust in the validation and recognition of competences must be assured.
- ☐ social recognition of non formal and informal learning is essential.

## Priorities:

- ☐ information and communication
- ☐ guidance
- ☐ equal access
- ☐ in line and coherent with formal learning assessment – strict but not overreact (in formal system is random sampling, why should non formal and informal learning assessment do more?)
- ☐ flexibility

**Priorities:**

- ☐ **reliable tools and instruments for assessment**
- ☐ **trained and accredited assessors**
- ☐ **common assessment processes and methodology that guarantee validity, reliability, transparency, objectivity**
- ☐ **data collection, surveys, more research in the domain for an evidence based policy (possibly a pannel data collection, extended on a long enough period of time)**
- ☐ **adequate indicators to evaluate the system and the processes (both quantitative e.g. rate of persons certified and qualitative e.g.rate of satisfaction of beneficiaries)**

## Conclusions:

- ☐ **quality assured validation and recognition of competences will take time and will have its cost, but is the only solution to create new routes to qualifications.**
- ☐ **formal and non formal, informal learning are not in a competition, they both have their place and role in the current demographic and economic context.**
- ☐ **quality assurance in validation and recognition of competences is crucial in assuring mutual trust , credibility, legitimacy and social recognition.**

**MUCHAS GRACIAS**